

Proposed Future Accounting and Assurance Standards Framework – An Overview

Kevin Simpkins Chairman, Accounting Standards Review Board



Introduction

- MED Discussion Document: "The Statutory Framework for Financial Reporting"
 - Focuses on which entities should be statutorily required to prepare GPFR i.e. "who" should report
 - Assigns responsibility for determining "what" to report to the ASRB
- ASRB companion Discussion Document:
 - Accounting Standards to be used when preparing GPFR
 - Level of assurance to be obtained on GPFR

MED Document

MED Document: Institutional Arrangements

- MED proposing a new External Reporting Board (XRB)
 - Reconstituted ASRB
 - Independent Crown Entity (as now)
- Consolidate all standard setting functions of:
 - ASRB , Financial Reporting Standards Board, Professional Standards Board
- Responsible for:
 - Financial reporting strategy
 - Preparation and approval of accounting standards
 - Preparation and approval of assurance standards

MED Document: Financial Reporting Principle

Primary Principle

The overarching reason for financial reporting is to provide information to external users who have a need for an entity's financial statements but are unable to demand them.

MED Document: Who Should Prepare GPFR

• Publicly accountable entities

- Issuers and entities holding assets in a fiduciary capacity
- All public sector PBE entities
- Registered charities & any other NFP entity receiving public donations (Except NFP entities with expenditure <\$20k)

Economically significant entities

- For-profit
 - Two of: turnover ≥ \$20m, assets ≥ \$10m, employees ≥50
- NFP entities
 - expenditure ≥\$20million

Entities with owner-manager separation

All sectors: entities with owners ≥10 (unless they opt out)

MED Document: Assurance

 All entities required to prepare GPFR must obtain assurance on their financial reports

Except:

- Small NFP entities (expenditure <\$100k)
- Separation Indicator entities may opt out

ASRB General Standards Framework

General Framework: Overview

- Taking a user information needs focus
- <u>Sector-specific accounting standards</u> to address those needs
- Reporting tiers to match costs and benefits

Sector-Specific Standards

- Currently a single set of standards applies to all sectors
 - NZ IFRS
- IFRS has a capital markets focus
 - Growing view they are not well suited to PBEs
 - Auditor-General
 - NZICA Not-For-Profit Sector Advisory Committee
 - Officers of Parliament and Finance and Expenditure Select Committees
 - Respondents to Revised Release 8 ITC

Reporting Tiers

- Use Reporting Tiers to address cost-benefits
 - Reduce costs of preparation as benefits reduce
 - Apply differential reporting to lower tiers
 - Consistent with current practice and MED Document presumption
- Number of tiers
 - Matter of judgement
 - Establish on a sector-specific basis
 - Maximum of three
 - Consistent with current practice

For-Profit Sector Reporting

For-Profit Sector: IASB Distinction

- IFRS for SMEs differentiates between
 - Publicly Accountable entities
 - Other entities
- An entity is publicly accountable if:
 - It's debt or equity securities are traded in a public market;
 or
 - It holds assets in a fiduciary capacity as part of its primary business

For-Profit Sector: IASB Distinction

ASRB Tentative Proposal Use IASB distinction to define For-Profit Tiers

For-Profit Tiers

• Tier 1:

- Issuers of securities traded in a public market
- Entities that hold assets in a fiduciary capacity as part of their primary business

• Tier 2:

- Others required to prepare GPFR
 - Issuers of securities not traded in a public market
 - Large entities
 - Entities with ≥10 owners

For-Profit Standards

- IFRS developed specifically for publicly accountable for-profit entities
 - Should be applied to Tier 1
- IFRS or NZ IFRS?
 - A rationale for NZ IFRS = ensure applicable to NZ circumstances
 - Experience shown that no substantive modifications can be made
 - No significant differences between IFRS and NZ IFRS
 - Effort to create NZ IFRS not justified

For-Profit Standards: Tier 1

ASRB Tentative Proposal

(Pure) IFRS apply to

For-Profit Tier 1

With additions through separate standards e.g. prospective financial information

For-Profit Standards: Tier 2

ASRB Tentative Proposal

Does not have a preferred option of IFRS for SMEs OR

Differential IFRS (ideally developed in conjunction with Australia)

Keen to get views about:

- Factors it should take into account
- Material concerns about IFRS for SMEs
- Benefits of a joint NZ-Australia Differential IFRS



PBE Tiers

	Public Sector (operating expenditure)	NFP Sector (operating expenditure)
Tier 1	≥ \$20m+ Leviers of coercive revenue	≥ \$10m
Tier 2	\$2m - <\$20m	\$1m - <\$10m
Tier 3	< \$2m	< \$1m

Different thresholds to create rough equivalency

PBE Standards: Public Sector

- International Standards exist: IPSAS
 - Developed specifically for public sector = relevant
 - More cost effective than developing own standards
 - Key issue: is IPSAS credible and robust?

ASRB view

- Provided governance arrangements can be sorted out,
 IPSAS is close to being suitable
 - Discussions underway

PBE Standards: NFP Sector

 No international standards for the NFP Sector – have asked IFAC about intentions

• Options:

- Develop NZ Standards specifically for NFP Sector
- Use Standards from other sectors: IFRS or IPSAS
- Modify other sectors' Standards
- Adopt other jurisdictions Standards (e.g. UK SORP)

PBE Standards: NFP Sector

ASRB Tentative Proposal

"NFP Application" of IPSAS should be developed for NFP Sector

PBE Standards: Application to Tiers

ASRB Tentative Proposal

Apply PBE Standards

Tier 1: Full Standards

Tier 2: Differential Version

Tier 3: Simple Format Reporting

PBE Standards: Tier 3

- Simple format reporting appropriate given the small size of entities in this Tier
- Template type approach
- Must still comply with fundamental aspects of GPFR:
 - Accrual based
 - Measurement bases aligned with full standards
 - Fundamental disclosures
 - Core statements:
 - Financial Performance
 - Financial Position
 - Simple Service Reporting



Assurance Level

ASRB Tentative Proposals

Tier 1: Audit

Tier 2: Audit

Tier 3: Review

Adopt (pure) international assurance standards

Audits: ISA

Reviews: ISRE

Timing

- Submissions due: 29 January 2010
 - Followed by policy formulation period, Government decisions, legislative change
- So 2-3 years before ready to begin transition
 - Very little happening immediately
 - Significant development and education issues to be addressed